

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

BRUCE MURRAY,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	No. 24-1017
	:	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA et	:	
al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 18th day of February, 2025, upon consideration of Defendants’ Partial Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 35), it is **ORDERED** that Defendants’ Motion is **DENIED AS MOOT**.<sup>1</sup>

          s/ANITA B. BRODY, J.            
ANITA B. BRODY, J.

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs subsequently filed an Amended Complaint (ECF No. 76), which is the subject of a new motion to dismiss (ECF No. 81). An amended complaint “supersedes the earlier [complaint] and renders [it] a nullity.” *Palakovic v. Wetzel*, 854 F.3d 209, 220 (3d Cir. 2017). Therefore, the motion filed as to the earlier complaint is now moot.